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Researchers from the University of California, Berkeley (UCB) and Norwegian University of Technology and Science (NTNU) started the project 2018 (Peder Sather Grant) and invited us to join in with a Finnish sample.
Background

• The nature of the work environment has a major impact on employee well-being, on job performance, and on other organizational outcomes such as healthcare – and turnover costs.

• European Agency for Safety and Health at work (EU-OSHA) had a campaign for Healthy Workplaces in 2018-2019

• The concepts Wellbeing at Work and Healthy Workplaces are included in social sustainability
Theoretical ideas

• The theoretical basis of the study is on need theories – not used widely in this context

• A set of basic needs have been identified from the literature including autonomy, social belonging, competence, meaningful work, personal growth, fairness, achievement and safety. (e.g. Maslach & Banks 2017; Deci & Ryan 2000)
The aim of the study

• was to develop a model (Healthy Work Assessment - HWA) of which work environment factors produce healthy workplaces where employees can flourish and perform their best

• was to develop a snapshot of an organization’s psychosocial environment

• and the practical aim of the project is to provide guidance on organizational changes that, based on scientific evidence, will significantly improve employee health, well-being and productivity
Methods

Phase 1
A survey was developed based on previously validated items measuring the presence/absence of organizational elements related to need satisfaction.

Phase 2
Survey was tested in US. and Norway. The most differentiating items were retained to the survey: 40 positively-related and 40 negatively-related -> related to healthy workplace index -> creating the Healthy Work Assessment (HWA).

Phase 3
After the pilot study, it has been tested within several work settings; health care, academia, real estate, insurance, teaching in US, Norway and Finland (n=1150).
Validated instruments

• Basic Psychological Needs Scale
• Self-Determination Theory (SDT)
• Work and Meaning Inventory
• Psychosocial Safety Climate Survey
• Areas of Worklife Scale
• KIWEST
• WHO
Survey categories

Job Characteristics (19 items)
- The expectations for job tasks are not always stated clearly
- My work is varied enough.
- I can make my own decisions on how to organize my work.

Interpersonal Relations (13 items)
- Employees do not trust some of their co-workers
- Communication with other teams and departments runs smoothly.
- Some coworkers make it difficult for others to do their job

Organizational Practices (18 items)
- Employees' suggestions for improvement are not taken seriously.
- My immediate superior contributes to the development of my skills.
- I get enough information about the results of my work.

Job Experience (20 items)
- There is not a high level of integrity across the organization.
- Most days I feel a sense of accomplishment from working.
- In my opinion, I receive a fair remuneration (salary, benefits) from my employer.
Survey categories

Outcomes – Index

• Job satisfaction (1 item)
• In-role performance (3 items)
• Engagement (3 items)
• Productivity (3 items)
• Distress (1 item)
• Meaning: (1 item)
• Inclusiveness
• The Psychosocial Safety Climate (2 items)
• Turnover (1 item)
• Work home balance (1 item)
• Health (2 items)
Preliminary findings

To be continued.....
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